

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,813	11/24/2003	Eliezer Krausz	P-5393-US	3466
27130 7	590 . 04/07/2004		EXAM	INER
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP 10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 1001			BOCHNA, DAVID	
NEW YORK,	,	1	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3679	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/718,813	KRAUSZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
	David E. Bochna	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	მ)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) dobjected	I to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			l Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PT	·O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other		,				
C. Datast and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/718,813

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The phrase "The present invention relates to" should be removed from the abstract.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no period at the end of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henderson et al.

In regard to claim 1, Henderson et al. discloses a pipe clamp 28 for pipes repair by means of a metal clamping band to surround the pipes, and a flexible inner sleeve 24 disposed inside the clamping band; the improvement being the provision of a reinforcing material bonded to the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,813

Art Unit: 3679

flexible inner sleeve or embedded therein to inhibit axial expansion thereof when the inner sleeve is under compression between the pipes and the clamping band.

In regard to claim 2, the textile reinforcing material is an aramide fiber.

In regard to claim 3, the reinforcing material is embedded within the sleeve (see col. 3, lines 19-28).

In regard to claim 4, the reinforcing material is bonded within the sleeve (see col. 3, lines 19-28 where the fibers are blended with the silicon sealant, thereby bonding the reinforcing material with the sleeve).

In regard to claim 5, the flexible inner sleeve 24 is provided with sealing lips 32 on its inner face to contact the pipes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson et al. in view of Hauffe.

Henderson et al. discloses a pipe clamp as described above, but does not disclose that the inner sleeve carries an array of depressions over its inner face. Hauffe teaches providing a sleeve with an array of depressions so that the interior of the band can press against the pipe wall to provide a fluid-tight seal therewith. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having

Application/Control Number: 10/718,813

Art Unit: 3679

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an array of depressions to the sleeve of Henderson et al., as taught by Hauffe, so that the sleeve could more efficiently seal against the exterior surfaces of the pipes.

7. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson et al. in view of Morriss, Jr.

Henderson et al. discloses a pipe clamp as described above, but does not disclose that the sleeve has a tapered overlapped section. Morriss, Jr. teaches providing a sleeve with tapered overlapped sections attached to the band so that the sleeve can better seal at the band joints. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add tapered overlapping sections to the sleeve of Henderson et al., as taught by Morris, Jr., so that the sleeve could more efficiently seal at the point where two band ends are joined.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shade, Bridges, Cohen et al., Bridges '428, Munday, Kreku et al., Deringer, Dunmire and Smith all disclose similar couplings common in the art.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Bochna whose telephone number is (703) 306-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3679

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

David Bochna Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679

March 30, 2004